

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/807,050                      | 04/06/2001  | Petr Peterka         | GIC-555                   | 2207             |  |
| 7590 02/12/2004 Barry R Lipsitz |             |                      | EXAMINER KENDALL, CHUCK O |                  |  |
|                                 |             |                      |                           |                  |  |
| •                               |             |                      | 2122                      | 6                |  |
|                                 |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004   |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | 1       |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/807,050  | PETERKA ET AL.  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | Offic Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Chuck O Kendall   | 2122  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication   | appears on the cover sheet  | with the correspondence address   |         |  |  |  |  |
| THE - External filter - If the - If NO - Failu Any rearns | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the median period by the Office later than three months after the median patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Office Interval (a) period on Office Interval (b) period on Office Interval (b) period on Office Interval (c) pe | N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) In atute; cause the application to becom- ailing date of this communication, eve | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. #INTHS from the mailing date of this communi #INTHS ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In if timely filed, may reduce any | cation. |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims  |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | drawn from consideration.   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | ion Papers   |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)□  | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abe rection is required if the draw   | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1   | • •     |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| a)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in<br>priority documents have be<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | n Application No en received in this National Stage   | €       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Information Paper                            | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, r No(s)/Mail Date 4.   | Paper   | w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |         |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2122

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This action is in response to the application filed 04/06/01.

2. Claims 1 - 24 have been examined.

#### Specification

3. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: As used in claim the acronym "ITU -T X. 731", should be defined atleast once in the claims to prevent misinterpretation with other acronyms from other technologies or disciplines.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1 3.5 7 & 9 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parthasarathy USPN 6,347,398 B1.

Regarding claim 1, Parthasarathy anticipates, a method (Col.32: 65 - 33:55) A television set-top terminal (Col.3: 47), comprising:

Art Unit: 2122

a computer readable, medium having computer program code (FIG.1, see item # 12, 16, also see FIG.3); and

means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (FIG.3, see 60, DATA DOWNLOAD MODULE for recover);

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (FIG.4, 76);

the API enables running and subsequent stopping of the applications (Col.19:60 - 67, see OnStartBinding and OnStopBinding); and

the API enables pausing of the applications once they are running, and subsequent resuming of the applications (CoI.19:60 - 67, see OnStartBinding and OnStopBinding).

Regarding claim 2, the terminal of claim 1, wherein: a user is notified of the presence of the applications after registration and installation thereof (Col. 19: 23 - 25).

Regarding claim 3, the terminal of claim 1, wherein: said API enables the retrieval of the applications as downloadable software applications (FIG.4, 70).

Regarding claim 5, the terminal of claim 1, wherein:

said API is independent of an operating system and hardware of the terminal (Col. 16:45-50, platform independent).

Regarding claim 6, Parthasarathy anticipates a television set-top terminal, comprising:

a computer readable medium having computer program code (FIG.1, see item # 12, 16, also see FIG.3); and

means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (FIG.3, see 60, DATA DOWNLOAD MODULE for recover);

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (FIG.4, 76); and

Art Unit: 2122

the API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise their respective states to other applications (Col. 19:15-20).

Regarding claim 7, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

a user is notified of the presence of the applications after registration and installation thereof (Col.19:23 – 25, see notification and IbindStatus-Callback Interface).

Regarding claim 9, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables at least one of the other applications to access the advertised state of at least one of the particular advertising applications (Col.19:22 – 28, see notification and IbindStatusCode).

Regarding claim 10, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables retrieval of version information associated with the applications (Col.32: 15 – 20, see control file and version information).

Regarding claim 11, Parthasarathy anticipates a television set-top terminal, comprising:

a computer readable, medium having computer program code (FIG.1, see item # 12, 16, also see FIG.3); and

means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (FIG.3, see 60, DATA DOWNLOAD MODULE for recover);

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (FIG.4, 76); and said locator is in the form of a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) (FIG.6, see step 100).

Regarding claim 12, the terminal of claim 6, wherein: API enables verification of the integrity of all of the applications (FIG.3, 62).

Regarding claim 13, Parthasarathy anticipates a television set-top terminal, comprising:

a computer readable, medium having computer program code (FIG.1, see item # 12, 16, also see FIG.3); and

Art Unit: 2122

means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (FIG.3, see 60, DATA DOWNLOAD MODULE for recover);

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (FIG.4, 76); and the API enables validation of the suitability of the applications for the terminal (FIG.6, 62 for validation see code verification module).

Regarding claim 14, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables administrative locking and unlocking of the applications (Col.19:60 – 67, for locking and unlocking see OnStartBinding and OnStopBinding).

Regarding claim 15, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective alarm statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Col. 19:55 – 65, see communicating status, reporting progress, also returning error codes).

Regarding claim 16, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective availability statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Col. 19:55-65, see communicating status).

Regarding claim 17, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective procedural statuses thereof to other ones of the applications (Col. 19:55 – 65, see lbindStatusCallback and registering using RegisterBindStatusCallback).

Regarding claim 18, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective operational states thereof to other ones of the applications (Col.19:15 - 20).

Regarding claim 19, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective administrative states thereof to other ones of the applications (Col.19:15 - 20, see pass status information back to application program).

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 20, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise respective usage states thereof to other ones of the applications (Col.9: 45 – 53, see ModuleUsage and "...keep track of software components installed...").

Regarding claim 21, the method version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 22, the method version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 23, the method version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 24, the method version of claim 7, see rationale as previously discussed above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parthasarathy USPN 6,347,398 B1 as applied in claim 1 & 6, in view of Frailong et al. USPN 6,230,194 B1.

Regarding claim 4, Parthasarathy discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 1 above. Parthasarathy doesn't expressly disclose retrieval of the applications as broadcast software applications. However, Frailong does disclose this in an analogous art (FIG.11 step 1104). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Parthasarathy

Art Unit: 2122

and Frailong because, broadcasting applications in a distributed environment makes upgrading and installing components more efficient and timely.

Regarding claim 8, Parthasarathy discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 6 above. Parthasarathy doesn't expressly disclose Said API provides an ITU -T X.731 based mechanism for monitoring and controlling the. However, Frailong does disclose this in an analogous art (Col.18: 35 – 37). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Parthasarathy and Frailong because, using the ITU based mechanism would enable both one way and mutual authentication as well as facilitate security and reliable identification and encryption for downloaded data.

## Correspondence Information

8. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to central FAX number 703-872-9306 and 703-7467240 draft.

Chuck &. Kendall

Boftware Engineer Patent Examiner

United States Departme

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER